FORM NO. 4

(See Rule 11 (1)) IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH

ORDER SHEET

19.

O.A. No. 159 of 2010

Subedar D RajanPetitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.Respondents

For petitioner: Mr. K Ramesh, Advocate.

For respondents: Mr. Satya Saharawat for Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON. HON'BLE LT. GEN. S.S.DHILLON, MEMBER.

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
17.08.2012	Order passed vide separate order
	sheet is placed on record. Petition is
	dismissed. No costs.

A.K. MATHUR (Chairperson)

S.S. DHILLON (Member)

New Delhi August 17, 2012 dn IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI 19.

O.A. No. 159 of 2010

Subedar D RajanPetitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.Respondents

For petitioner: Mr. K Ramesh, Advocate.

For respondents: Mr. Satya Saharawat for Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON. HON'BLE LT. GEN. S.S. DHILLON, MEMBER.

ORDER 17 08 2012

1. The Petitioner was enrolled in the Army on 3rd January 1978 and discharged because of the low medical category on 31st December 1999 and that order has been challenged by him in 2010. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that because of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court given in the case of **Union of India v. Naib Subedar Rajpal Singh** that the discharge on medical ground can only be by Invaliding Medical Board and not by Release Medical Board and, therefore, on the basis of this judgment the benefit may be given to the Petitioner. But the question is that the Petitioner has approached this Tribunal after almost 11 years, challenging the order of discharge dated 31st December 1999. Subsequently matter has been dealt with by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court wherein their Lordships has considered the matter in the case of **Sub (SKT) Puttan Lal & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.** (**decided on 20th November 2008**) and that judgment has been

considered by us in the case of Rifleman Ram Bahadur Thapa v. Union of India & Ors. (O.A. No. 176 of 2011 decided on 19th October 2011).

2. Accordingly, this petition is extremely belated and for the reasons stated in the case of Rifleman Ram Bahadur Thapa v. Union of India & Ors., the petition is dismissed. No costs.

A.K. MATHUR (Chairperson)

S.S. DHILLON (Member)

New Delhi August 17, 2012 dn